#### **Green Infrastructure Program**

#### **Before You Begin**

#### PLEASE READ BEFORE PROCEEDING

- Limit your use of bullets and other formatting.
- Copy and paste as needed.
- Log into your account at <u>https://www.GrantRequest.com/</u> <u>SID\_5833?SA=AM</u> to access saved and submitted requests.
- Add mail@grantapplication.com to your safe senders list to ensure you receive all system communications.
- Anticipate a notice of application receipt within 24 hours of submission

#### **General Information**

Applicant Information

#### Organization

West Creek Conservancy

**Executive Officer Title** 

Executive Director

#### **Executive Officer First Name**

Derek

Executive Officer Last Name Schafer

#### **Executive Officer Address**

West Creek Conservancy 1440 Rockside Road, Suite 329 Cleveland, OH 44134

**Executive Officer Phone** 

216-749-3720

**Executive Officer Extension** 

12

### Executive Officer E-mail Address

dschafer@westcreek.org

Project Manager Title

Executive Director

Project Manager First Name

Bob

Project Manager Last Name Gardin

### Office Address

Big Creek Connects 4352 Pearl Road, Suite C Cleveland, OH 44109

Office Phone 216.661.7706 Extension

#### Alternate Phone

216.269.6472

### E-mail

bgardin@bigcreekconnects.org

## Project Information

Parcel Numbers

016-08-001 & 016-07-001

### Green Infrastructure SCM's Proposed

1) Cistern

- 2) underground chambers
- 3) porous concrete
- 4) bioretention

### Watershed of Project

Walworth Run (CSO 080) Project Start Date January 01, 2020

Project End Date

November 30, 2020

Total Project Cost 467763.64

467763.64

Requested Grant Amount

249741.80

Project Title Barrio Distribution Center Green Campus

### **Project Address**

3199 & 3190 W. 65th Street Cleveland, Ohio 44102

Green Infrastructure SCM's Data. Provide acre measurements to the nearest hundreth

List each proposed SCM and denote the square footage footprint of each (e.g., Rain Garden – 400 SF).

For "Rain Harvesting", indicate "0" square feet.

1) 500 gallon Cistern

- 2) 0.034 AF underground chambers
- 3) 1,445 SF porous concrete
- 4)600 SF bioretention

# List each proposed SCM and denote the drainage area to each to nearest hundredth of an acre.

- 1) 0.19 (overflows to bioretention)
- 2).73
- 3) 0 (runoff reduction)
- 4) 0.19 (comes from cistern)

### Pre-Construction Impervious Acres

0.92

Post-Construction Impervious Acres:

0.879

Change in Impervious Acres

-0.041

### Impervious Acres Draining to each SCM(s)

- 1) 0.19 (overflows to bioretention)
- 2) 0.689
- 3) 0 (runoff reduction)
- 4) 0.19 (comes from cistern)

## \*Pre-Construction – Average Annual Runoff (in.) of Project Area

27.72

Post-Construction - Average Annual Runoff (in.) of Project Area

3.43

### Annual Runoff Reduction (gal/yr.)

(Runoff Reduction (in.) / 12 x Treated Drainage Area (acre) x 325,851.433 = gal/yr.)

695645

Existing Conditions Results (EPA National Stormwater Calculator Report). For proposed projects that are only adding SCMs to the existing land use, this will serve as your *baseline scenario* and you do not need to upload a report for "Improvements Meeting Minimum Title IV Standards Results" (see below).

Use <u>\*Analysis using the US EPA Stormwater Calculator</u> an upload the results here

National stormwater calculator.pdf

#### Provide the following map:

Show existing project discharge points and corresponding drainage areas and drainage patterns, including offsite areas that drain into the project area. As applicable, show the limits of existing forest, meadow, lawn, and impervious areas and the percentage of the project area comprised by each.

Existing Conditions Map bario GIG Site Plan-Barrio Pre.pdf

Improvements Meeting Minimum Title IV Standards Results (EPA National Stormwater Calculator Report). The "Existing Conditions Results" and this report must be submitted if a new or re-development project is being proposed that incorporates SCMs. This report will serve as your baseline scenario.

Use <u>\*Analysis using the US EPA Stormwater</u> an upload the results here HydroCAD Report.pdf

#### Provide the following map:

Show proposed project discharge points and corresponding drainage areas and drainage patterns, including offsite areas that drain into the project area. As applicable, show the limits of existing forest, meadow, lawn, and impervious areas and the percentage of the project area comprised by each.

# Post-Development Map with required Title IV SCMs only.

bario GIG Site Plan-bARRIO Post.pdf

# Improvements with Proposed SCMs Results (EPA National Stormwater Calculator Report)

Use <u>\*Analysis using the US EPA Stormwater Calculator</u> an upload the results here

National stormwater calculator\_VER\_1.pdf

Provide the following map:

Show proposed project discharge points and corresponding drainage areas and drainage patterns, including areas that drain into the project area. As applicable, show the limits of proposed forest, meadow, lawn, and impervious areas and the percentage of the project area comprised by each.

For each proposed SCM, show the following:

The total drainage area

- The impervious drainage area, including the % of the site's total Impervious area

The actual size of the practice (square feet)

#### Post-Development Map with SCMS

bario GIG Site Plan-Project11x17 Exhibit.pdf

#### **Project Narrative**

**Project Narrative** 

#### **Project Introduction**

Introduction (100 word maximum)Provide a brief introduction to the organization that would be delivering the proposed GIG project.

Big Creek Connects (BCC) in partnership with West Creek Conservancy (WCC) as the fiscal agent and the

property owner Sandy Banks, LLC will be delivering the proposed project. Since 2005 Big Creek

Connects has been the watershed stewardship organization for the Big Creek watershed and areas

within the City of Cleveland north of the watershed boundary. West Creek Conservancy has a longaccomplished

history working within and beyond its watershed, assisting communities and other

watershed organizations like BCC with conservation and restoration projects. WCC has an excellent track

record working with larger budgeted projects like the one presented here.

### Project Summary

Describe the GIG project. Include the following information:

- Objectives and Outcomes;
- Proposed design and installation;

- Drawings or figures of the site and GIG project;
- How the SCM will function;
- Other relevant project details; and,
- Current photos of the GIG project site. If awarded, design documents must be submitted to the District for review, comment, and approval prior to site work.

The proposed project will be installed on a lot directly south of Barrio's Distribution Center facility,

located at 3199 W. 65th Street in Cleveland's Stockyards neighborhood. The Barrio Distribution Center

Facility is owned by Sandy Banks LLC, Barrio CEO's construction company. Sandy Banks LLC is an Ohio

limited liability company whose sole member is Mr. Thomas Leneghan. Big Creek Connects and West

Creek Conservancy are partnering with Barrio to apply for Green Infrastructure Grant funding to construct

bioretention, porous pavement, an aboveground cistern, and underground storage to control

stormwater from the vacant property south of the Barrio Distribution Center. This parcel is currently

owned by the City of Cleveland landbank and will be transferred to Barrio in 2019. Mr. Leneghan has

been in contact with the Chief of Regional Development who has advised that the City anticipates receipt

of the appraisal by September 19th, at which point Mr. Leneghan will move forward with the

purchase. Metro West Community Development Corporation (Metro West) will also be involved with

the project to assist with project-related education and outreach opportunities within the community,

i ncluding the nearby Stockyards Elementary and Middle Constellation Schools.

The project objectives are to encourage neighborhood revitalization and build community in the Stockyards

neighborhood of Cleveland through installation of creative stormwater control measures on the

Barrio Distribution Center (commissary) expansion site at 3199 W. 65th Street. Barrio is a local-owned

business who seeks to improve and expand its existing commissary to better serve its locations and

clientele. Barrio is Spanish meaning "neighborhood" and Mr. Leneghan has built many Barrio "neighbor

h oods" in northeast Ohio since its inception in 2012.

The commissary is sited centrally to Barrio's business in the Stockyards neighborhood. The adjacent

property is the site of a recently demolished structure that the Barrio owner is purchasing for expansion

of their commissary to install a new parking lot and garage structure. They want to manage the

stormwater runoff from the expanded site by installing a porous pavement patio, bioretention, an

aboveground cistern to capture roof runoff to irrigate the bioretention garden (which would include food grade plantings), and underground storage. The underground storage and cistern would be used to wash food trucks stored at this commissary. Overflow from the porous pavement, bioretention and cistern will be directed to underground storage for additional stormwater detention and capture. The bioretention and landscaping along the frontage on W. 65th Street will be a bright, vibrant addition to the industrial backdrop and will be used to grow heirloom peppers and other vegetables for the Barrio menu. The aboveground cistern will function both as a useful rainwater harvesting system as well as an art installation, with creative use of metalwork and design to produce a visible landmark in keeping with Barrio's eclectic brand. Barrio currently retains an artist in residence at this facility. His specialty is metal work and his art will be incorporated into the cistern. The cistern is proposed to be a set-in-place aboveground metal cistern with an impermeable liner for containment. Because the set-in-place cisterns come fully assembled, they can be installed in a matter of hours, and can last up to 75 years or longer with proper maintenance. The SCMs will be constructed according to the most recent state guidance in Rainwater and Land Development. The cistern water will only be used for non-potable purposes and will include a sediment treatment device to eliminate abrasives from the water prior to use. The underground infiltration will include a sediment pretreatment device to facilitate both effectiveness of the practice and longterm maintenance. A conceptual design for the SCMs has already been produced (see attachments). The design consultant, Environmental Design Group (EDG), will be authorized to further the design and provide final construction drawings, specification, and construction administration support services once the grant is awarded. Sandy Banks LLC, the owner, will be the general contractor on the non-SCM portions of the project and will be subcontracting construction of the SCMs to RS Construction, a local firm that has experience in installation of stormwater control measures such as the bioretention cells at the Flying Monkey parking lot in Tremont (819 Jefferson Avenue) – which was funded by the NEORSD in 2007. Mr. Leneghan has purchased and rehabilitated all of his Barrio restaurant locations and is a registered contractor. With all of the ability to capture and re-use stormwater, this project will control 695,645 gallons of

stormwater. Existing soil survey in this Walworth Run sewershed (CSO 080) are clay, which will reduce

infiltration, making stormwater re-use more important. This project was also located as a potential site

within the award-winning Walworth Run Green Infrastructure Feasibility Study.

EDG will use existing O&M guidance such as the Northeast Ohio Stormwater Training Council's

Maintaining Stormwater Control Measures: Guidance for Private Owners and Operators in addition to

the manufacturer's guidance on proprietary stormwater systems to produce an O&M manual for the

owners. EDG will also perform an on-site training for the Barrio team with staff certified through The

Ohio State University and Summit SWCD's Inspection and Maintenance Certification for Stormwater

Control Measures in Ohio.

### Project Summary Photos

Upload a zip or pdf file containing up to five(5) photos

photo log.pdf

### Ability to Provide Long Term Maintenance

Describe the plans for long-term maintenance, addressing ALL the following questions:

- Who owns the land where the GIG project will be located? Does the applicant have site control?
- What is the anticipated design life expectancy of the green infrastructure features for which GIG funding is requested?
- Who is responsible to provide on-going maintenance for the design life of the project and how will maintenance be ensured?
- Provide an anticipated list of routine maintenance tasks/activities, schedule, and estimated annual cost to ensure continued performance of the GIG project.

The land is currently owned outright by the City of Cleveland Industrial-Commercial Land Bank. The

Barrio owners are working with the City Landbank on the purchase and will secure site control by December 2019. As the owner/general contractors, the Barrio team are familiar with the SCMs and will

be responsible for maintaining them over the SCM lifetimes. The Barrio team performs their own

maintenance and landscaping of the commissary, their restaurants, all Sandy Banks LLC buildings, have

access to a vacuum truck and sewer jet, and are well-equipped for SCM maintenance. WCC and BCC will

assist with annual inspections and review of the O&M manual.

Porous pavement, if maintained properly, has lifespans comparable to traditional asphalt, and can

function well after 15 to 20 years. Vacuum sweeping and replacement of aggregate should be

performed two to four times a year, ideally after winter snow melt and after fall leaf drop. After large

(>1") storms, inspect pavement to ensure it is dewatering with no surface water ponding. Annually,

inspect the pavement surface for structural integrity and any needed repair. Bioretention plants should be watered regularly in the first year to ensure establishment. Weeding and

pruning of the plants should occur as needed through the bioretention's lifetime. Inspect pretreatment

and filter bed areas semi-annually for accumulated sediment or erosion and repair if needed.

Inspections for litter and debris removal should occur monthly, and mulch should be added or replaced

annually as needed. Approximately every 3-10 years, depending on the runon, fine sediments may

accumulate in the top few inches of planting soil, which should be corrected by replacing a portion or all

of the planting soil and filter layer until better permeability is achieved.

The rainwater harvesting cistern should be inspected quarterly in the first year to assess site loading and

verify initial operation, with annual inspections for subsequent years. Pretreatment, inlets, outlets, and

overflow structures should be checked for blockages and accumulated sediment, and sediment should

be removed when it reaches critical volume. In most cases, maintenance cycles are 5 to 10 years for

rainwater harvesting systems.

The underground system should be inspected monthly and after each major (>1'') storm event in the

first year, with quarterly inspections for subsequent years (preferably after a storm). The

manufacturer's guidance for maintenance will be included in the O&M manual.

Total annual costs for maintenance are estimated at \$2,600 to \$3,000.

#### Visibility and Public Outreach

What audiences will be exposed specifically to the green infrastructure components of this project (neighbors, students, community groups, public)?

• Describe how these audiences will interact with the GIG project and include methods of exposure, frequency, and education components.

Barrio has a commitment to community revitalization, as evidenced by anchoring their primary

production facility in the Stockyards neighborhood and creating 40 jobs as a result. The nearby Stockyard

Community Elementary and Middle Constellation Schools afford a unique opportunity for Barrio to

engage local students on stormwater education. Over 340 students in grades K-8 attend the schools

and the combined student body is over 51% Hispanic, most from the local Clark-Fulton and Stockyards

neighborhoods. The schools actively partner with Metro West CDC and consider being involved in the

community to be a vital part of each student's education. The bioretention and water storage can function

as a "land lab" for the students, with interpretive signage to assist them in understanding the reason

behind and function of the stormwater control measures. The proximity of the bioretention to the schools allows the students to observe its function throughout the seasons and

Barrio can host field trips where Big Creek and West Creek can demonstrate the SCMs to the students.

The project site itself is located along W. 65th, a major north-south corridor on the near west side of

Cleveland. The road is well-traveled and, based on 2015 NOACA traffic-count information, sees an

average daily traffic total of 7,126 vehicles in the project area's location between Clark Avenue and

Storer Avenue. SCMs located along this corridor will be highly visible and serve as a gateway landmark

for the Stockyards and Detroit-Shoreway neighborhoods, which share a border at Clark Avenue.

### Tasks and Deliverables

Submit a schedule of GIG project tasks and deliverables with start dates and end dates for the significant benchmarks with project completion date defined.

Barrio\_Tasks&Deliverables.docx

### Letters of Support

- Applications must include one letter of support from the applicable councilperson.
- Applications must include a letter of support from each non-municipal project partner named in the application. Please note this applies to non-municipal partners only. Please do not include letters of support from various municipal departments unless specifically required.
- Applications proposing work on publicly-owned property, including within the right-of-way, must include a letter of support from the applicable public office with control over the property. For the City of Cleveland, a GIG project in the right-of-way in the City of Cleveland must include a support letter from the Mayor's Office of Capital Projects.
- Do not include any letters of support beyond those specified above. The Sewer District does not want extraneous letters of support.

support barrio.pdf

### Budget

Budget Information

### **Budget Summary**

The Budget Summary and Budget represent the green infrastructure components of the project exclusively. Include details on the provider for all in-kind services and/or materials including specific material cost and hourly rate. If there is a volunteer component, please identify the source of volunteers.

An Opinion of Probable Project Costs is uploaded in this application. It is based on available information and the Landscape Architect's experience and qualifications. This opinion represents the Landscape Architect's best judgment based on experience with the construction of similar projects.

## **GIG PROJECT INCOME**

NEORSD Anticipated

**NEORSD** Committed

NEORSD Total

**NEORSD** Description

Foundations Anticipated

Foundations Committed

Foundations Total

Foundations Description

Government Grants or Contracts Anticipated

Government Grants or Contracts Committed Government Grants or Contracts Total

Government Grants or Contracts Description

Organizational Budget Anticipated

Organizational Budget Committed

Organizational Budget Total

Organizational Budget Description

In-kind Support Anticipated

In-kind Support Committed

In-kind Support Total

In-kind Support Description

Other Anticipated

Other Committed

Other Total

Other Description

## **GIG PROJECT EXPENSES**

## **Professional Services**

NEORSD Request 56285 Other Funding

Total 56285 Line Item Description

## Labor

**NEORSD** Request

Other Funding

Total

Line Item Description

## Plants

**NEORSD** Request

Other Funding

Total

Line Item Description

# **Equipment Rental**

**NEORSD** Request

Other Funding

Total

Line Item Description

## Materials

## **NEORSD** Request

Other Funding

Materials Total

Line Item Description

## Other

NEORSD Request 193715 Other Funding 219670.17 Other Total 413385.17 Line Item Description Construction Upload Engineer's Estimate (If applicable) Barrio\_Cost Estimate.pdf



**CITY OF CLEVELAND Office of the Council** 

### Kerry McCormack COUNCIL MEMBER, WARD 3

COMMITTEES: Health & Human Services • Development, Planning & Sustainability • Safety

August 26, 2019

Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District GJM Administration Building 3900 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44115

RE: NEORSD Green Infrastructure Grant for 3190 West 63rd Street

Dear NEORSD Grant Committee:

I am writing you to express my full support for West Creek Conservancy's request for funding through the NEORSD Green Infrastructure Grant for improvements at the Barrio Commissary site located at 3190 West 63rd Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44102. Barrio Bros, LLC owns and operates the Barrio Commissary and is looking to expand their existing location through the acquisition and improvement of the adjacent property. With restaurant locations throughout the Cleveland Area, including in the Downtown and Tremont neighborhoods, and the Commissary in the Stockyards neighborhood, Barrio has been an asset to the city since 2012.

Barrio has worked with my office and Metro West Community Development Organization during the improvements to their existing building and on the acquisition of the adjacent site from the City of Cleveland. I believe their use of the site for building expansion, parking and vegetable gardens using green infrastructure by capturing and reusing stormwater will bring the site to life and add to beautification efforts along West 63<sup>rd</sup> and 65<sup>th</sup> Streets.

Through NEORSD Green Infrastructure funding, using green infrastructure on the site will further connect the business to the community and will provide an excellent opportunity to educate community members and nearby students of the Stockyard Community Elementary school about green infrastructure and the benefits of its use.

Thank you for your time and please contact me with any additional questions.

Sincerely,

Kerry McCormack\_ Councilman Kerry McCormack



August 26, 2019

Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District McMonagle Administration Building 3900 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44115

RE: NEORSD Green Infrastructure Grant for 3190 West 63rd Street

Dear NEORSD Grant Committee:

I am writing you to express Metro West Community Development's full support for Barrio Tacos' request for the funding through the NEORSD Green Infrastructure Grant for improvements to their site located at 3190 West 63<sup>rd</sup> Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44102. Barrio has run the Barrio Commissary at their West 63<sup>rd</sup> Street site within the Stockyard neighborhood since 2015, providing jobs to local residents including refugees and immigrants who live within close proximity to their site.

Barrio has worked with Metro West Community Development Organization and Councilman McCormack's office throughout the improvements to their existing building and with the acquisition of the adjacent site from the City of Cleveland. I believe their use of the site for building expansion, parking and vegetable gardens using green infrastructure by capturing and reusing stormwater will bring the site to life and add to beautification efforts along West 63<sup>rd</sup> and 65<sup>th</sup> Streets. This area has suffered from vacancy and dilapidation, and we believe this investment would inspire additional improvements along this high-trafficked but often overlooked corridor. The proposed infrastructure would also provide educational opportunities for area schools, one of which, Stockyard Elementary, is directly across the street.

Through NEORSD Green Infrastructure funding, using green infrastructure on the site will further connect the business to the community and will provide an excellent opportunity to educate community members and visitors about green infrastructure and the benefits of its use.

Thank you for your time and please contact me with any additional questions.

Sincerely,

ME-

Ricardo León Executive Director Metro West Community Development Organization

#### Tasks & Deliverables

| September 6, 2019  | NEORSD Grant Due. Big Creek Connects to upload;   |
|--|---|
| September 2019 thru December 2   | 019NEORSD review of grant applications;   |
| December 31, 2019  | Notice of grant approval;   |
| January 31,2020  | contract between BCC/WCC & NEORSD;  |
| February 1, 2020.  | contract with EDG;  |
| May 20, 2020   | Survey, infiltration testing, and design finalized to 90% level;  |
| June 31, 202090% design, d   | Iraft drainage report, draft O&M manual, draft interpretive   |
| signage to be sent   | to NEORSD for review and approval;  |
| July 15, 2020  | finalization of construction drawings, interpretive signs;  |
| July 15, 2020 Begin work wi<br>August 1, 2020 Begin work wi<br>November 30, 2020 Construction<br>Interpretation signage installed; | Permits procured from City;<br>th owner and subcontractor (owner will be general contractor);<br>Completion; As Built Drawings; final O&M manual, |
| December 22, 2020  | Project Construction End Deadline   |

|           |  | PARKS GROUP                             | Date:               |       | 9/2/2019      |               |                          |                |                     |
|-----------|--|---|---------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
|           | Environmental                                    | Akron, Ohio                             | Project Title:      | Barr  | io Distributi | ion Center Gr | een Retrofits            |                |                     |
|           | DesignGroup                                      |   | Project No.         |       |               |               |                          |                |                     |
|           | 5  |   | Description:        |       |               |               |                          |                |                     |
|           |  |   | Conceptual Clas     | is 3  |               |               |                          |                |                     |
| Propered  | bu   | Approved By                             | design qty. estim   | nate  |               |               |                          |                |                     |
| Prepareo  | by:  | Approved By:                            |                     |       |               |               |                          |                |                     |
|           |  |   |                     |       |               |               |                          |                | Item Requested for  |
| K. Holm   | ok   |   |                     |       | NO.           | UNIT          | UNIT                     | SUBTOTAL       | NEORSD GIG Grant    |
| PHASE     | DESCRIPTION: CONCEPTUAL                          | PLANS (CLASS 3)                         |                     |       | UNITS         | MEAS.         | COSTS                    | COST           | Reimbursement       |
| Item #    |  |   |                     |       |               |               |                          |                |                     |
| 201 & 624 | Clearing & grubbing/Mobilization/demo            |   |                     |       | 1             | LS            | \$12,500.00              | \$12,500.00    |                     |
| 203       | Excavation and Embankment (including             | all excavation hauled)                  |                     |       | 1             | LS            | \$29,269.33              | \$29,269.33    | \$29,269.33         |
| 253       | Asphalt Pavement - Heavy Duty                    |   |                     |       | 3136          | SY            | \$35.00                  | \$109,760.00   |                     |
| SPEC      | Concrete drive apron                             |   |                     |       | 1             | LS            | \$2,800.00               | \$2,800.00     |                     |
| SPEC      | Porous Concrete                                  |   |                     |       | 1445          | SF            | \$12.00                  | \$17,340.00    | \$17,340.00         |
| 609       | 6" x 18 " Concrete Curbing                       |   |                     |       | 343           | LF            | \$27.00                  | \$9,261.00     |                     |
| 641       | Parking Lot Markings                             |   |                     |       | 1             | LS            | \$1,250.00               | \$1,250.00     |                     |
| 653       | Topsoil Furnished and Placed (repair seeding)    |   | 24                  | CY    | \$70.00       | \$1,657.96    |                          |                |                     |
| SPEC      | Concrete Curb Stop                               |   | 28                  | EA    | \$90.00       | \$2,520.00    |                          |                |                     |
| SPEC      | ADA Signage & Handicap Marker                    |   |                     |       | 3             | EA            | \$200.00                 | \$600.00       |                     |
| SPEC      | Storm sewer connection to W. 63rd existing sewer |   |                     |       | 1             | LS            | \$12,500.00              | \$12,500.00    | \$12,500.00         |
| SPEC      | Catch basin with concrete collar                 |   | 2                   | EA    | \$1,800.00    | \$3,600.00    |                          |                |                     |
| SPEC      | Downspout Diconnection (PVC for disc             | onnection)                              |                     |       | 250           | LF            | \$50.00                  | \$12,500.00    | \$12,500.00         |
| 0050      | Raise bioretention with decorative gabi          | on basket seat walls (inc               | luding soil, stone, | sand, |               | 1.0           | A 40 054 00              | A10.051.00     | <b>A</b> 40.054.00  |
| SPEC      | underdrainage, overflow, mulch )                 |   |                     |       | 1             | LS            | \$48,351.00              | \$48,351.00    | \$48,351.00         |
| SPEC      | 4" PVC underdrain cleanouts w/caps               |   |                     |       | 85            | LF            | \$35.00                  | \$2,975.00     | \$2,975.00          |
| SPEC      | Stormwater PPP                                   |   |                     |       | 1             | LS            | \$750.00                 | \$750.00       |                     |
| SPEC      | Underground chambers                             |   |                     |       | 1             | LS            | \$61,567.17              | \$61,567.17    | \$61,567.17         |
| SPEC      | Stainless Steel Cistern (500 gallons)            |   |                     | 1     | LS            | \$2,962.50    | \$2,962.50               | \$2,962.50     |                     |
| SPEC      | Interpretive Sign                                |   |                     | 2     | EA            | \$850.00      | \$1,700.00               | \$1,700.00     |                     |
| SPEC      | Shrubs   |   | 35                  | EA    | \$60.00       | \$2,100.00    | \$2,100.00               |                |                     |
| SPEC      |  |   |                     |       | 7             | EA            | \$350.00                 | \$2,450.00     | \$2,450.00          |
| SPEC      |  |   |                     |       | 284           | ST            | \$1.50                   | \$426.33       |                     |
|           | Contingency (20%)                                |   |                     |       |               | 1.0           | <b>A</b> O <b>770</b> 04 | \$67,768.06    |                     |
|           | Permits (2%)                                     | and an all the filter at a state of the |                     |       | 1             | LS            | \$6,776.81               | \$6,776.81     | <b>\$50.005.00</b>  |
|           | Design, Survey, CA, interpretive sign d          | esign and infiltration test             | ing                 |       | 1             |               | \$56,285.00              | \$55,285.00    | \$56,285.00         |
|           |  |   |                     |       |               | NEODO         | CIC Creat                | \$469,670.17   | <b>\$050,000,00</b> |
|           |  |   |                     |       |               | NEORSL        | Gig Grant                | Request I otal | \$250,000.00        |

The above Opinion of Probable Project Costs is based on available information and the Landscape Architect's experience and qualifications. This opinion represents the Landscape Architect's best judgment based on experience with the construction of similar projects. The Landscape Architect has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment or services furnished by others or over competitive bidding or market conditions and, therefore, does not guarantee that this project cost estimate will approximate the actual project costs.

#### ASSUMPTIONS

Cost estimates and ranges are developed to the Association for the Advancement of Cost Consulting International (AACE) Class 3 estimate level. Construction cost estimates a. utilize ODOT 2018 prices, and local public bid prices for similar work. Unit costs include direct, indirect costs, contractor overhead and profit.

- b. Excavation and Haul: It is assumed that soil is clean fill. Phase I/II screening would have to occur on any non-roadway property prior to purchase/project.
- c. Assumed Soil Conditions: Unknown
- d. No bedrock conflicts

Date

- e. No existing utility conflicts, repairs or upgrades are known
- f. Maintenance costs are not included
- g. The cost estimate does not include fire and all risk insurance
- h. The listed 20% construction cost contingency was based upon these assumptions and risks.
- i. Non-Construction Costs (Permitting, environmental, security, etc.) is unknown and an allowance of 2% of construction costs is included.

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT:

Kathere Aly Holmok

Signature Katherine Gluntz Holmok, ASLA

8/30/2019



| PHOTO LOG                   | 3199 W. 65 <sup>th</sup> Street – Barrio Expansion    | Project No.<br><sub>No.</sub> |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Site Visit Date: 06-18-2019 | Site Location: Parcel Number: 016-08-001 & 016-07-001 |                               |

| <b>Photo No</b> .<br>1  | <b>Date:</b><br>06-18-2019   |  |
|---|--|--|
| Old building (<br>demolished (<br>area). New p<br>with subsurfa<br>stormwater s<br>be placed on<br>ability to re-u<br>stormwater fo<br>washing. | recently<br>grassy<br>arking area<br>ace<br>torage will<br>site with<br>se<br>or truck |  |
| <b>Photo No</b> .<br>2  | <b>Date:</b><br>06-18-2019   |  |
| Existing roof<br>also be colled<br>Barrio buildir<br>controlled in<br>SCMs.   | runoff will<br>cted from<br>ig and<br>proposed   |  |

| PHOTO LOG                   | 3199 W. 65 <sup>th</sup> Street – Barrio Expansion    | Project No.<br><sub>No.</sub> |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Site Visit Date: 06-18-2019 | Site Location: Parcel Number: 016-08-001 & 016-07-001 |                               |

| <b>Photo No</b> .<br>3  | <b>Date:</b><br>06-18-2019  |  |
|---|---|--|
| Existing Cons<br>elementary s<br>located acros<br>and will be al<br>proposed edu<br>signs and fro<br>bioretention o<br>65 <sup>th</sup> street. | stellation<br>chool is<br>so the street<br>ole to utilize<br>ucational<br>nt<br>cells along W |  |
| Photo No.<br>4  | <b>Date:</b><br>06-18-2019  |  |
| Existing stree<br>will have pav<br>removed and<br>garden plante<br>be irrigated b<br>runoff.  | et frontage<br>ement<br>a pepper<br>ed that will<br>y the roof                                |  |

# Site Description

#### Barrio

| Parameter                      | Current Scenario  | Baseline Scenario |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Site Area (acres)              | 1.05              | 1.33              |
| Hydrologic Soil Group          | В                 | В                 |
| Hydraulic Conductivity (in/hr) | 1                 | 1                 |
| Surface Slope (%)              | 2                 | 2                 |
| Precip. Data Source            | CLEVELAND WSFO AP | CLEVELAND WSFO AP |
| Evap. Data Source              | CLEVELAND WSFO AP | CLEVELAND WSFO AP |
| Climate Change Scenario        | None              | None              |
| % Forest                       | 0                 | 0                 |
| % Meadow                       | 0                 | 0                 |
| % Lawn                         | 20                | 0                 |
| % Desert                       | 0                 | 0                 |
| % Impervious                   | 80                | 100               |
| Years Analyzed                 | 13                | 13                |
| Ignore Consecutive Wet Days    | False             | False             |
| Wet Day Threshold (inches)     | 0.10              | 0.10              |
| LID Control                    | Current Scenario  | Baseline Scenario |
| Disconnection                  | 0                 | 0                 |
| Rain Harvesting                | 2/4               | 0                 |
| Rain Gardens                   | 0                 | 0                 |
| Green Roofs                    | 0                 | 0                 |
| Street Planters                | 3/6               | 0                 |
| Infiltration Basins            | 85 / 5            | 0                 |
| Porous Pavement                | 10 / 100          | 0                 |

% of impervious area treated / % of treated area used for LID

# **Summary Results**

| 20 | rrin |
|----|------|
| Da | IIIO |
|    |      |

| Statistic                            | Current Scenario | Baseline Scenario |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Average Annual Rainfall (inches)     | 34.39            | 34.39             |
| Average Annual Runoff (inches)       | 3.43             | 27.72             |
| Days per Year With Rainfall          | 74.03            | 74.03             |
| Days per Year with Runoff            | 8.07             | 60.04             |
| Percent of Wet Days Retained         | 89.10            | 18.90             |
| Smallest Rainfall w/ Runoff (inches) | 0.50             | 0.10              |
| Largest Rainfall w/o Runoff (inches) | 1.12             | 0.22              |
| Max. Rainfall Retained (inches)      | 1.74             | 0.20              |

Current Scenario Annual Rainfall = 34.39 inches



Baseline Scenario Annual Rainfall = 34.39 inches



Barrio





Barrio





![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Estimate of Probable Costs**

### Barrio

| LID Control          | Current Scenario      | Baseline Scenario | Cost Difference       |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Disconnection        | \$-\$                 | \$-\$             | \$-\$                 |
| Rainwater Harvesting | \$ 4,000 - \$ 4,500   | \$ - \$           | \$ 4,000 - \$ 4,500   |
| Rain Gardens         | \$-\$                 | \$-\$             | \$-\$                 |
| Green Roofs          | \$-\$                 | \$-\$             | \$-\$                 |
| Street Planters      | \$ 2,900 - \$ 9,300   | \$-\$             | \$ 2,900 - \$ 9,300   |
| Infiltration Basins  | \$ 5,700 - \$ 13,400  | \$ - \$           | \$ 5,700 - \$ 13,400  |
| Permeable Pavement   | \$ 24,300 - \$ 32,800 | \$-\$             | \$ 24,300 - \$ 32,800 |
| Total                | \$ 37,000 - \$ 60,100 | \$ - \$           | \$ 37,000 - \$ 60,100 |

## **Capital Costs**

## **Maintenance Costs**

| LID Control          | Current Scenario  | Baseline Scenario | Cost Difference   |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Disconnection        | \$ - \$           | \$ - \$           | \$ - \$           |
| Rainwater Harvesting | \$ - \$ 100       | \$ - \$           | \$ - \$ 100       |
| Rain Gardens         | \$ - \$           | \$ - \$           | \$ - \$           |
| Green Roofs          | \$ - \$           | \$ - \$           | \$ - \$           |
| Street Planters      | \$ - \$ 100       | \$ - \$           | \$ - \$ 100       |
| Infiltration Basins  | \$ 100 - \$ 3,600 | \$ - \$           | \$ 100 - \$ 3,600 |
| Permeable Pavement   | \$ 300 - \$ 1,500 | \$ - \$           | \$ 300 - \$ 1,500 |
| Total                | \$ 400 - \$ 5,200 | \$ - \$           | \$ 400 - \$ 5,200 |

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Area Listing (selected nodes)

| CN | Description                        |
|----|------------------------------------|
|    | (subcatchment-numbers)             |
| 89 | <50% Grass cover, Poor, HSG D (8S) |
| 98 | Paved parking, HSG D (8S)          |
| 97 | TOTAL AREA                         |
|    | CN<br>89<br>98<br><b>97</b>        |

### Soil Listing (selected nodes)

| Area    | Soil  | Subcatchment |
|---------|-------|--------------|
| (acres) | Group | Numbers      |
| 0.000   | HSG A |              |
| 0.000   | HSG B |              |
| 0.000   | HSG C |              |
| 0.730   | HSG D | 8S           |
| 0.000   | Other |              |
| 0.730   |       | TOTAL AREA   |

| Ground Cov | ers (selected | l nodes) |
|------------|---------------|----------|
|            |               |          |

| HSG-A     | HSG-B   | HSG-C   | HSG-D   | Other   | Total   | Ground                 | Subcatchment |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------|--------------|
| (acres)   | (acres) | (acres) | (acres) | (acres) | (acres) | Cover                  | Numbers      |
| <br>0.000 | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.041   | 0.000   | 0.041   | <50% Grass cover, Poor | 8S           |
| 0.000     | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.689   | 0.000   | 0.689   | Paved parking          | 8S           |
| 0.000     | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.730   | 0.000   | 0.730   | TOTAL AREA             |              |

Time span=5.00-95.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 9001 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

| Subcatchment8S: Barrio | Runoff Area=0.   | 730 ac | 94.38  | % Imperv | vious Runoff D | epth>2.09"  |
|------------------------|------------------|--------|--------|----------|----------------|-------------|
| F                      | Flow Length=830' | Tc=10  | .8 min | CN=97    | Runoff=2.10 c  | fs 0.127 af |

 Pond 6P: SC740 StormTech
 Peak Elev=3.11' Storage=0.055 af
 Inflow=2.10 cfs
 0.127 af

 Discarded=0.00 cfs
 0.001 af
 Primary=0.18 cfs
 0.121 af
 Secondary=0.34 cfs
 0.005 af
 Outflow=0.52 cfs
 0.127 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.730 ac Runoff Volume = 0.127 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.09" 5.62% Pervious = 0.041 ac 94.38% Impervious = 0.689 ac

#### Summary for Subcatchment 8S: Barrio

Runoff = 2.10 cfs @ 12.02 hrs, Volume= 0.127 af, Depth> 2.09"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-95.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type II 24-hr 2-Yr Rainfall=2.44"

| Area         | (ac) ( | CN E | Desc  | cription   |             |                                 |
|--------------|--------|------|-------|------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| 0.           | 689    | 98 F | Pave  | ed parking | HSG D       |                                 |
| 0.           | 041    | 89 < | <50%  | % Grass co | over, Poor, | HSG D                           |
| 0.           | 730    | 97 V | Neio  | ghted Aver | age         |                                 |
| 0.           | 041    | 5    | 5.62  | % Perviou  | s Area      |                                 |
| 0.           | 689    | ç    | 94.3  | 8% Imperv  | vious Area  |                                 |
| _            |        |      |       |            |             |                                 |
| Tc           | Length | Slo  | pe    | Velocity   | Capacity    | Description                     |
| <u>(min)</u> | (feet) | (ft  | :/ft) | (ft/sec)   | (cfs)       |                                 |
| 8.9          | 65     | 0.01 | 50    | 0.12       |             | Sheet Flow,                     |
|              |        |      |       |            |             | Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44" |
| 1.9          | 765    | 0.11 | 00    | 6.73       |             | Shallow Concentrated Flow,      |
|              |        |      |       |            |             | Paved Kv= 20.3 fps              |
| 10.8         | 830    | Tota | al    |            |             |                                 |

#### Subcatchment 8S: Barrio

![](_page_32_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Summary for Pond 6P: SC740 StormTech

[82] Warning: Early inflow requires earlier time span

| Inflow Area = | 0.730 ac, 94.38% Impervious, Inflow | Depth > 2.09" for 2-Yr event        |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Inflow =      | 2.10 cfs @ 12.02 hrs, Volume=       | 0.127 af                            |
| Outflow =     | 0.52 cfs @ 12.24 hrs, Volume=       | 0.127 af, Atten= 75%, Lag= 13.1 min |
| Discarded =   | 0.00 cfs @ 5.45 hrs, Volume=        | 0.001 af                            |
| Primary =     | 0.18 cfs @ 12.24 hrs, Volume=       | 0.121 af                            |
| Secondary =   | 0.34 cfs @ 12.24 hrs, Volume=       | 0.005 af                            |

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-95.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 3.11' @ 12.24 hrs Surf.Area= 0.028 ac Storage= 0.055 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 137.0 min calculated for 0.127 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 136.9 min ( 915.7 - 778.9 )

| Volume | Invert | Avail.Storage | Storage Description   |
|--------|--------|---------------|---|
| #1A    | 0.00'  | 0.025 af      | 20.50'W x 59.40'L x 3.50'H Field A                            |
|        |        |               | 0.098 af Overall - 0.034 af Embedded = 0.064 af x 40.0% Voids |
| #2A    | 0.50'  | 0.034 af      | ADS_StormTech RC-750 x 32 Inside #1                           |
|        |        |               | Effective Size= 45.4"W x 30.0"H => 6.49 sf x 7.12'L = 46.2 cf |
|        |        |               | Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap     |
|        |        |               | Row Length Adjustment= +0.44' x 6.49 sf x 4 rows              |
|        |        | 0.060 af      | Total Available Storage                                       |

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

| Device | Routing   | Invert | Outlet Devices  |
|--------|-----------|--------|---|
| #1     | Discarded | 0.00'  | 0.010 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area                    |
| #2     | Primary   | 0.00'  | 2.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600                             |
| #3     | Secondary | 3.00'  | 3.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s) |

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.00 cfs @ 5.45 hrs HW=0.04' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.00 cfs)

**Primary OutFlow** Max=0.18 cfs @ 12.24 hrs HW=3.11' (Free Discharge) **2=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 0.18 cfs @ 8.37 fps)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.33 cfs @ 12.24 hrs HW=3.11' (Free Discharge) —3=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 0.33 cfs @ 1.06 fps)

#### Pond 6P: SC740 StormTech - Chamber Wizard Field A

#### Chamber Model = ADS\_StormTech RC-750 (ADS StormTech® RC-750)

Effective Size= 45.4"W x 30.0"H => 6.49 sf x 7.12'L = 46.2 cf Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +0.44' x 6.49 sf x 4 rows

51.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 57.0" C-C Row Spacing

8 Chambers/Row x 7.12' Long +0.44' Row Adjustment = 57.40' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 59.40' Base Length 4 Rows x 51.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 3 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 20.50' Base Width 6.0" Base + 30.0" Chamber Height + 6.0" Cover = 3.50' Field Height

32 Chambers x 46.2 cf +0.44' Row Adjustment x 6.49 sf x 4 Rows = 1,491.0 cf Chamber Storage

4,261.8 cf Field - 1,491.0 cf Chambers = 2,770.8 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 1,108.3 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 2,599.4 cf = 0.060 af Overall Storage Efficiency = 61.0%

32 Chambers @ \$ 2,000.00 /ea = \$ 64,000.00 157.8 cy Field Excavation @ \$ 16.00 /cy = \$ 2,525.53 102.6 cy Stone @ \$ 85.00 /cy = \$ 8,722.87 Total Cost = \$ 75,248.40

![](_page_34_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Pond 6P: SC740 StormTech

### BIORETENTION (RAINGARDEN) CALCULATIONS USING OHIO EPA APPROVED METHODS (FROM CONSTRUCTION PERMIT OHC000005)

| Enter data in shaded cens only, other cens will  | be automatically calc       | ulateu                   | ODNR CALCOLTAOR                            |  |                  |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|------------------|
| Date: 9/2/2019   | 7                           |                          |  |  |                  |
| Project  |                             |                          |  |  |                  |
| Name: Barrio - just the bioretention, not inclu  | iding removal of water fr   | rom cistern              |  |  |                  |
| Number: na   |                             |                          |  |  |                  |
| Type Of  |                             |                          |  |  |                  |
| Project: Redevelopment   |                             |                          |  |  |                  |
| Location   |                             |                          |  |  |                  |
| of Device: 3199 W. 65th Street   |                             |                          |  |  |                  |
|  |                             |                          |  | 0.40   |                  |
| Upstream Drainage Area (A)   |                             |                          |  | 0.19 acres   |                  |
| Required Filter Red Size of the Ricrotention (   | Equation from: ONDP         | 2014 "Painwator          | and Land Dovelopment"):                    |  | 7                |
| Required Filter Bed Size of the Bioretention (i  | Equation from: ONDR,        | 2014. Railiwalei a       | and Land Development ).                    | 0.10   | -                |
| Upstream Drainage Area (A)   | 0000                        | ft                       |  | 0.19 acres   |                  |
| Proposed Impervious Area:  | 8380                        | sq.π.                    | 500.000                                    | 0.192 acres  |                  |
| Percent: 100%  | <25%                        | WQV/1 Ft Max (sq.ft.)    | 560.622                                    | ECO og ft minimum Filter Ded                             |                  |
|  | >= 25%                      | 5% of Imp Area (sq.ft)   | 419  | x 560 Sq.it. Minimum Filter Bed                          | 1                |
| Description of Discontinue Area Destinue   |                             |                          |  |  | 7                |
| Proposed Bioretention Area Design:   |                             |                          |  | 000 0  | -                |
| Proposed Device Area Size (sq.ft.)   |                             |                          |  | 600 sq.ft.   |                  |
| Device Storage Depth (Above Ground)(feet)  |                             |                          |  | 0.75 ft  |                  |
| Soil depth (feet) 2 to 4 feet  |                             |                          |  | 2 ft   |                  |
| Concrete Sand depth (feet) - 3 inches  |                             |                          |  | 0.25 ft  |                  |
| Pea Gravel depth (feet) - 3 inches   |                             |                          |  | 0.25 ft  |                  |
| Stone depth (feet) - 10 to 12 inches   |                             |                          |  | 0.8333333 ft   |                  |
| WQv  |                             |                          |  | 450 cuft   | 1                |
| Demuired Cine of the Disystemtion to most drain  |                             |                          |  |  | 0.4085556        |
| Required Size of the Bioretention to meet drain  | I time of WQV:              |                          |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                    |                  |
| A (sq. ft.) = $(WQv)(ds)/[(k)(hs + ds)(ts)]$   |                             |                          |  | 407.725 sq. ft.  |                  |
| where:   |                             |                          |  |  |                  |
| WQv = water quality volume (cf)  |                             |                          |  | 561 cu.ft.   |                  |
| ds = planting soil depth (feet)(note: typical minimum is   | 2')                         |                          |  | 2 ft   |                  |
| k = coefficient of permeability of planting soil media (ft)  | /day)(note: settled soil me | dia (0.5in/hr) 1 ft/day) | )  | 1 ft/day   |                  |
| hs = height of water above planting soil and mulch (fe   | et)                         |                          |  | 0.75 ft  |                  |
| ts = design filter bed drain time (days) (note: 1 day (24  | hrs) is required by OEPA    | v)                       |  | 1 days   |                  |
|  |                             |                          | does design draw down in 24 hours or less? | YES  | 1                |
| Required WOV (Equation from: ONDR 2014 "   | 'Rainwator and Land F       | )evelopment"):           |  |  | 7                |
|  | Kalliwaler and Land D       | evelopment ).            |  | 0.040  | -                |
| WQV=C^P^A/12   |                             |                          |  | 0.013 acre π.  |                  |
|  |                             |                          |  | 560.622  |                  |
| $W_{QV} = Water quality volume (cu.rt.r)$  |                             |                          |  |  |                  |
| C- Weighted C of planned site imp  |                             |                          | 0.80                                       | 0.802 pieked C   |                  |
| Planned Site Impervious where  | C=0.858i^3-0.78i^2+0.7      | 774i+0 04                | 0.892                                      |  |                  |
| Redevelopment Project2lf ves then 20% of WOv   | Ves                         | Y                        | 0.032                                      | 112 1244 Redevelopment WOv (cu ft )                      |                  |
| Nedevelopment roject:n yes, then 20% of WQV  | No                          | ^                        |  | 560.622 New Development WOV (cu.ft.)                     |                  |
| New Development Required Bonding depth for WC  | hv .                        |                          |  | 12.01 inches   |                  |
| Re-Development Required Ponding depth for WO   | 14                          |                          |  | 2.00 inches  |                  |
| Re-Development Required Foliding depth for way   |                             |                          |  | 2:40 mones   | 1                |
|  |                             |                          |  |  |                  |
| Ohio EPA Weighted Runoff Coefficient Calcula   | tions From Constructi       | on Permit (OHC000        | 0004)(Expires 4/20/2018):                  |  |                  |
|  | Project Drainage            | % Total Drainage         | 2014)(2xpires 4/20/2010).                  |  |                  |
| Land use   | Area (acres)*               | Area                     | C Value Per Land Use Area                  | Table 1 - OFPA Runoff Coefficients Based upon            | Type of Land Use |
| Industrial & Commercial  | 0 102378320                 | 1.00                     | 0.80                                       | Industrial & Commercial                                  | 0.8              |
| High Density Pesidential (>8 dwellings/ac)   | 0.192370329                 | 0.00                     | 0.00                                       | High Density Residential (S8 dwellings/ac)               | 0.5              |
| Medium Density Residential (4-8 dwellings/ac)  | 0                           | 0.00                     | 0.00                                       | Medium Density Residential (4-8 dwellings/ac)            | 0.5              |
| Low Density Residential ( dwellings/ac)</td <td>0</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>Low Density Residential (<!-- dwellings/ac)</td--><td>0.4</td></td> | 0                           | 0.00                     | 0.00                                       | Low Density Residential ( dwellings/ac)</td <td>0.4</td> | 0.4              |
| Open space and Recreational Areas  | 0                           | 0.00                     | 0.00                                       | Open space and Recreational Areas                        | 0.0              |
|  | * 0.400070000               | 0.00                     | 0.20                                       | * This Total Area must match the Unstream Dra            | 0.2              |
| Total Acres  | 0.192378329                 | Weighted C               | : 0.80                                     | This Total Area must match the upstream Dra              | mage Area        |
|  | -                           |                          |  |  |                  |
| WQv Rainfall (P)   |                             |                          |  |  |                  |
| (in)   |                             |                          |  |  |                  |

0.90

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Site Description

#### Barrio

| Parameter                      | Current Scenario  | Baseline Scenario |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Site Area (acres)              | 1.05              | 1.33              |
| Hydrologic Soil Group          | В                 | В                 |
| Hydraulic Conductivity (in/hr) | 1                 | 1                 |
| Surface Slope (%)              | 2                 | 2                 |
| Precip. Data Source            | CLEVELAND WSFO AP | CLEVELAND WSFO AP |
| Evap. Data Source              | CLEVELAND WSFO AP | CLEVELAND WSFO AP |
| Climate Change Scenario        | None              | None              |
| % Forest                       | 0                 | 0                 |
| % Meadow                       | 0                 | 0                 |
| % Lawn                         | 20                | 0                 |
| % Desert                       | 0                 | 0                 |
| % Impervious                   | 80                | 100               |
| Years Analyzed                 | 13                | 13                |
| Ignore Consecutive Wet Days    | False             | False             |
| Wet Day Threshold (inches)     | 0.10              | 0.10              |
| LID Control                    | Current Scenario  | Baseline Scenario |
| Disconnection                  | 0                 | 0                 |
| Rain Harvesting                | 2/4               | 0                 |
| Rain Gardens                   | 0                 | 0                 |
| Green Roofs                    | 0                 | 0                 |
| Street Planters                | 3/6               | 0                 |
| Infiltration Basins            | 85 / 5            | 0                 |
| Porous Pavement                | 10 / 100          | 0                 |

% of impervious area treated / % of treated area used for LID

# **Summary Results**

| 82 | rr | in |
|----|----|----|
| Da |    | IU |
|    |    | _  |

| Statistic                            | Current Scenario | Baseline Scenario |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Average Annual Rainfall (inches)     | 34.39            | 34.39             |
| Average Annual Runoff (inches)       | 3.43             | 27.72             |
| Days per Year With Rainfall          | 74.03            | 74.03             |
| Days per Year with Runoff            | 8.07             | 60.04             |
| Percent of Wet Days Retained         | 89.10            | 18.90             |
| Smallest Rainfall w/ Runoff (inches) | 0.50             | 0.10              |
| Largest Rainfall w/o Runoff (inches) | 1.12             | 0.22              |
| Max. Rainfall Retained (inches)      | 1.74             | 0.20              |

Current Scenario Annual Rainfall = 34.39 inches

![](_page_39_Figure_5.jpeg)

Baseline Scenario Annual Rainfall = 34.39 inches

![](_page_39_Figure_7.jpeg)

Barrio

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

Barrio

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Estimate of Probable Costs**

### Barrio

| LID Control          | Current Scenario      | Baseline Scenario | Cost Difference       |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Disconnection        | \$-\$                 | \$-\$             | \$-\$                 |
| Rainwater Harvesting | \$ 4,000 - \$ 4,500   | \$ - \$           | \$ 4,000 - \$ 4,500   |
| Rain Gardens         | \$-\$                 | \$-\$             | \$-\$                 |
| Green Roofs          | \$-\$                 | \$-\$             | \$-\$                 |
| Street Planters      | \$ 2,900 - \$ 9,300   | \$-\$             | \$ 2,900 - \$ 9,300   |
| Infiltration Basins  | \$ 5,700 - \$ 13,400  | \$ - \$           | \$ 5,700 - \$ 13,400  |
| Permeable Pavement   | \$ 24,300 - \$ 32,800 | \$-\$             | \$ 24,300 - \$ 32,800 |
| Total                | \$ 37,000 - \$ 60,100 | \$ - \$           | \$ 37,000 - \$ 60,100 |

## **Capital Costs**

## **Maintenance Costs**

| LID Control          | Current Scenario  | Baseline Scenario | Cost Difference   |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Disconnection        | \$-\$             | \$-\$             | \$-\$             |
| Rainwater Harvesting | \$ - \$ 100       | \$-\$             | \$ - \$ 100       |
| Rain Gardens         | \$-\$             | \$-\$             | \$-\$             |
| Green Roofs          | \$-\$             | \$-\$             | \$-\$             |
| Street Planters      | \$ - \$ 100       | \$ - \$           | \$ - \$ 100       |
| Infiltration Basins  | \$ 100 - \$ 3,600 | \$ - \$           | \$ 100 - \$ 3,600 |
| Permeable Pavement   | \$ 300 - \$ 1,500 | \$ - \$           | \$ 300 - \$ 1,500 |
| Total                | \$ 400 - \$ 5,200 | \$ - \$           | \$ 400 - \$ 5,200 |

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)